

**From:** [Bowman, Liz](#)  
**To:** [Coleman, Sam](#)  
**Cc:** [Jackson, Ryan](#); [Kelly, Albert](#); [Wagner, Kenneth](#); [Breen, Barry](#); [Edlund, Carl](#); [Peterson, Mary](#); [Gray, David](#); [Cheatham, Reggie](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Arkema  
**Date:** Saturday, September 2, 2017 1:22:43 PM

---

Thank you, Sam. This is really helpful. As an FYI, I am working up a statement now using information from the EOC report to respond to the AP article saying that EPA isn't on the scene or helping with the overflowing superfund sites.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 2, 2017, at 2:19 PM, Coleman, Sam <[Coleman.Sam@epa.gov](mailto:Coleman.Sam@epa.gov)> wrote:

In support of the local Fire Marshal and the State, EPA agreed to do some additional modeling to confirm the 1.5 mile evacuation. Needed as described below:

There are currently 6 trailers containing the same 5 gal containers of organic peroxide. Company predicts that any of these that ignites will ultimately ignite the others. They are ~ 109 ' from a container of isobutylene (highly volatile). There are other "proprietary" chemicals also stored nearby.

The modeling would confirm or inform a new evacuation zone. However, Arkema has declined to provide EPA all information regarding the "proprietary" chemicals. We can't do modeling with incomplete information. Spoke to TCEQ, and they agree. Working with counsel to get info as well as informing Arkema that Any EPA model has to be based on complete and accurate information.

Call if you need more details. Top priority now.

Samuel Coleman, P. E.,  
Deputy Regional Administrator

214.665.2100 Ofc  
214.665. 3110 Desk  
214.665.2016 Cell

[Coleman.sam@epa.gov](mailto:Coleman.sam@epa.gov)

Sent from my iPhone